

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,043	10/03/2003	Gary A. Foos	14222/YOD ITWO:0070	1647
75	7590 01/05/2005		EXAMINER	
Patrick S. Yoder			COCKS, JOSIAH C	
FLETCHER YODER P.O. Box 692289		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77269-2289			3749	

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Amplicati m No	Applicant(a)					
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/679,043	FOOS ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI MAN NO DATE AND	Josiah Cocks						
Th MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on app ars on the cover she it w	ith the correspondenc address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	01 November 2004.						
	This action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>		ters prosecution as to the merits is					
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) Claim(s) 1-8,14-23 and 31 is/are pending	in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi							
	tharawit from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-8,14-23 and 31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	***	···					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage					
application from the International E							
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	/	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/679,043

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 9-13, 23-30, and 32-35 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/01/2004. Further, applicant has cancelled these claims.

Drawings

2. The drawings filed on 10/3/2003 are accepted by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8, 14-23 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 2,666,479 to Clinton ("Clinton").

Clinton discloses in Figures 1-5 the invention substantially as described in applicant's claims 1-6, 8, 14-23, and 31. In particular, Clinton shows a torch (10) having a torch butt with an attached handle portion (17) (see Fig. 1), a valve assembly operable to control a first flow of fluid through the torch butt (see Fig. 2 and col. 3, lines 25-36)), and a lever (21) selectively

Art Unit: 3749

securable to pivot about first and second portions of the torch butt. The valve assembly, including lever (21) is arranged in a first orientation relative to the torch butt and may be moved to a second portion that is inverted from the second position (see Fig. 1 and col. 4, line 46 through col. 5, line 15). Clinton further discloses that the torch includes the necessary sealing and sealing means (see col. 3, lines 37-64), a second throttling valve assembly (16), and handle portion (17) has an upper radius and a lower radius that are uniform along the length of the handle (see Fig. 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clinton as applied to claim 1 above in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,571,427 to Dimock et al. ("Dimock").

Clinton discloses all the limitations of claim 7 except that the handle has a skull-shaped cross section.

Dimock teaches a torch in the same field of endeavor as Clinton. In Dimock the torch includes a handle (16) that has a D/skull shaped cross section (see Fig. 6).

Therefore, in regard to claim 7, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the torch handle of Clinton to incorporate the D/skull shape of Dimock as this shape desirably provides a better ergonomic fit and better gripping surface for the hand of the operator and reduces the wasted space associated with rounded handles (see Dimock, col. 5, lines 11-15).

Conclusion

- 8. This action is made non-final. A THREE (3) MONTH shortened statutory period for reply has been set. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patents to Barna, Smith, Steventon, Mills, Jr. et al., Mims et al., and German Patent No. 2 101 127 are cited to further show the state of the art concerning torch assemblies.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,043

Art Unit: 3749

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner Josiah Cocks whose telephone number is

(571) 272-4874. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:00 AM to 5:30

Page 5

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ira Lazarus, can be reached at (571) 272-4877. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Any questions on access to the Private

PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197

(toll-free).

jcc

December 30, 2004

JOSIAH COCKS

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3749